

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) provides for reconsideration where one of more of the following is shown: (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence which by due diligence could not have been discovered before the court's decision; (3) fraud by the adverse party; (4) voiding of the judgment; (5) satisfaction of the judgment; (6) any other reason justifying relief. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b); School Dist. 1J v. ACandS Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993). But plaintiff does no more than repeat the same

1 claims and arguments he previously presented, and assert that the court got it
2 wrong. This is not enough to justify reconsideration. See Carroll v. Nakatani,
3 342 F.3d 934, 945 (9th Cir. 2003) (reconsideration is not a vehicle to rehash
4 arguments previously presented or to raise arguments or present evidence that
5 could have been raised or presented earlier); Twentieth Century - Fox Film Corp.
6 v. Dunnahoo, 637 F.2d 1338, 1341 (9th Cir. 1981) (motion for reconsideration is
7 not a substitute for appeal or a means for attacking some perceived court error).
8 SO ORDERED.

9 DATED: Jan. 5, 2016



CHARLES R. BREYER
United States District Judge